

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

Al	PPLICATION NO.	\top	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/675,950	٨.	09/29/2000	Jorgen Topp Jorgensen	6136.200-US	1104
•	25908	7590	02/11/2003			
	NOVOZYMES NORTH AMERICA, INC.			EXAMINER		
		0 FIFTH AVENUE			WITZ, JEAN C	
	NEW YORK	K, NY	10110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					1651	//
					DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003	, /6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/675,950	JORGENSEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Jean C. Witz	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. INTHS DISC 8 133)
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	? November 2002 .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims	vance except for formal ma r <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-15 and 17-28</u> is/are pending	in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are wit	thdrawn from consideration	1.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,1, 14-15 and 17-28</u> is/are rejecte	ed.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ o	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in A	Application No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17,2(a)).	_
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest		
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has b	een received.
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (RTO 802)	,, <u> </u>	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office FO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 16

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 12, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-8, 11, 14-15, 17-28 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liddell et al. in view Neubeck.

Art Unit: 1651

Applicants argue that the disclosure of Neubeck teaches only purified or concentrated enzymes may be spray dried and that there is no suggestion to spray dry a fermentation broth "after culture of a microorganism." However, it is noted that Applicants' claims are no so limited. The scope of the term "fermentation broth starting material comprising an enzyme and a biomass" includes both the fermentation broth immediately after culture as well as having been treated with several steps for removal of certain components of the broth. Applicants' disclosure at page 12 notes that the "starting material is a fermentation broth or a fermentation broth, which have been subjected to one or more processing steps, such as fermentation filtrate or an enzyme concentrate as defined, supra." Therefore, the term encompasses a biomass and a concentrated enzyme. It is clear that both these components may be successfully spray-dried per the disclosure of both Liddell et al. and Neubeck. Neubeck does teach a process for spray-drying enzymes, specifically the fermentation broth after culture of a microorganism. Neubeck teaches to include incipients and to prepare the broth in a manner to remove substances which are the major cause of discoloration, hygroscopicity, odor, gumming, caking, etc. that would lead to deterioration of the product. These steps appear to within the steps disclosed by Applicants, including desludging.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to leave the cells, i.e. biomass, in the fermentation broth prior to spray drying in order to obtain the benefit of the cells as taught by Liddell et al. Manipulations of the broth and the biomass as claimed, such as desludging, inclusion of exipients, and the type of spray

Art Unit: 1651

drying apparatus, absent objective evidence to the contrary, are deemed well within the skill of the practitioner.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean C. Witz whose telephone number is (703) 308-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. M-Th and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1651

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jean C. Witz Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

February 9, 2003